

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/851,763	RYGAARD, CHRISTOPER A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Poltorak	2134

All Participants:

(1) Peter Poltorak.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mark Kirkland.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-16, 18-19, 21-22, 24-25, 27-28, 30-31, 33-34, 36-40 and 47.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JACQUES LOUIS-JACQUES
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Terms: "sensitive" and "vulnerable" have been discussed. Applicant's representative advised that the terms should be treated as disclosed in the specification, in particular on pg. 3,7 and 9. Furthermore claim 1 were authorized to be amended in order to address the lack of antecedent basis and permission to make same by Authorization for this Examiner's Amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mark Kirkland on 07/05/2006.